

## ACTIVITY OF FUNGI ON OILS

ELWYN T. REESE, HOWARD CRAVETZ, AND GABRIEL R. MANDELS

*Pioneering Research Laboratories, Quartermaster Res. and Dev. Center, Natick, Mass.*

Plastic films, like other substances composed of organic materials, are subject to the degradative action of microorganisms. Under favorable conditions of moisture and temperature, microorganisms attack certain types of plasticizers although the plastic itself does not appear to be affected. Since the plasticizer is added to make the films flexible, microbial action results in a stiffening of the film (Harvey, 1949). Plasticizers vary in resistance from inert compounds such as tri-octyl-phosphate to extremely susceptible ones such as castor oil. For reasons of availability, cost or requirements of specific properties, it is frequently necessary to use plasticizers which are non-resistant. A study has been made in these laboratories of the breakdown of several homologous series of esters by the fungus *Aspergillus versicolor* and by the bacterium *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (Stahl and Pessen, 1953). Results of tests conducted under the auspices of the National Defense Research Committee during World War II are summarized by Brown (1946). Most plasticizers are of the ester type. Those composed of naturally occurring oils and fatty acids (i.e. laurates, stearates, ricinoleates, etc.) are known to support microbial growth, while synthetic plasticizers of the phthalate and phosphate types are generally resistant. The present study was undertaken to determine the range of organisms capable of growing on typical plasticizers. It supplements a previous publication covering the activity of the same fungi on cotton and on wool (Reese, et al, 1950). The activities of some (358) of these organisms on coconut oil, methyl-acetyl-ricinoleate (or di-hexyl-sebacate) are being reported here.

Comparatively little information on fat utilization by fungi is available. Much more interest has been shown in fat synthesis. On the other hand degradative action by bacteria has been more fully covered. One of the best reviews is the 26 page chapter "Action of Microorganisms on Fat" by Jensen in his recent book (1945). Fats decompose slowly in the soil (Waksman, 1932). Fungi and aerobic bacteria are largely responsible. A comparative study of bacterial action on lipids (Castell and Garrard, 1941) showed *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Alcaligenes viscosus*, and *Staphylococcus aureus* as possessing distinct lipolytic action. The factors affecting lipase production by two of these bacteria, *Alcaligenes viscosus* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, were recently investigated (Cutchins, Doetsch, Pelczar, 1951). In the Actinomycetes, several of the antibiotic producing species of Streptomyces have been found to utilize animal and

vegetable oils. Replacement of all of the carbohydrate by lipids did not decrease the yields of antibiotic produced (Perlman and Wagman, 1952). Fungi, especially Aspergilli and Penicillia, have frequently been observed growing on margarine and butter. Undoubtedly many of those fungi found on paints, meats and other complex substrata are obtaining their nutrient from the fatty constituents therein.

We are not concerned here with the mechanism of breakdown of the compounds under consideration. Indeed, the manner of attack does not appear to have been clearly established for various types of esters. Probably the action revolves around hydrolytic breakdown — lipases attacking glycerides such as coconut oil; esterases breaking down the simple esters. This aspect of the enzymic hydrolysis of fats and esters has been reviewed recently by Ammon and Jaarma (1950).

#### METHODS

Cultures were set up in triplicate in 250 ml Erlenmeyer flasks containing 0.5g of coconut oil ("Moonstar," Procter and Gamble), di-hexyl sebacate (Hardesty Chemical Company) or methyl acetyl ricinoleate (P4, Baker Castor Oil Company) and 50 ml of nutrient solution (1.0 g  $\text{NH}_4\text{NO}_3$ ; 1.36 g  $\text{KH}_2\text{PO}_4$ ; 0.2 g  $\text{MgSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ; 0.1 g Difco yeast extract; 1000 ml distilled  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ; pH adjusted to 6.4). The di-hexyl sebacate was purified by adsorption on activated silica, activated alumina and Fuller's earth. After autoclaving, the media were inoculated with a spore suspension, and placed on a reciprocal shaker at 30°C for 7 days. Dry weights of the mycelium produced were determined after filtering on sintered glass crucibles, extracting the residual oil with an excess of absolute ethanol and drying at 70°C. Results are based on the average dry weight of mycelium per flask.

#### RESULTS

Results are presented in detail in the appendix. The data are summarized in Table 1 in which 5 categories of growth have been set up. The percentage of the strains falling into each category is shown as well as the total number of strains tested. Sebacate was distinctly inferior as a substratum. Over

TABLE 1. GROWTH OF FUNGI ON FATTY MATERIALS

Category	Growth		% of Strains Tested		
	Wt/flask	as % wt. of oil	Coconut Oil	Ricinoleate	Sebacate
0	0-10 mg	0-2%	5%	10%	40%
1	11-100	3-20	39	57	58
2	101-200	21-40	31	29	1
3	201-300	41-60	22	4	0
4	301+	60+	3	0	0
Number of strains tested		358	309	82	

95% of all strains tested showed less than 50 mg growth/flask (i.e. less than 10% conversion of oil to mycelium). No effort was made to determine the cause. The other ester, ricinoleate, was a fairly good substratum for 90% of the test organisms. The glyceride, coconut oil, was by far the best substratum of those tested in that 95% of the organisms were able to grow on it, and the per cent conversion of substratum to fungus tissue was greatest. While a few cases have been found where the amount of growth on ricinoleate exceeded that on coconut oil, the reverse is usually true.

The organisms falling at either extreme, i.e., no growth (group 0) or very good growth (groups 3,4) are listed in Tables 2 and 3. Much of the screening done has been on Aspergilli. The results indicate that members of the following groups are usually very active: *A. terreus*, *A. niger* (the *A.*

TABLE 2. ORGANISMS GROWING LITTLE OR NOT AT ALL UNDER CONDITIONS OF THE TEST (GROUP 0) †

Acrostalagmus cinnabarinus	QM 320e	Colletotrichum sp.	QM 533
Amblyosporium botrytis	QM 971	Ctenomyces serratus	QM 256
Aspergillus repens	QM 44c	Phialophora lagerbergii	QM 267
Basidiomycete (conidial stage)	QM 592	Pholiota adiposa	QM 512
" " "	QM 870	Polyporus sulfureus	QM 509
Botryosporium pulchrum	QM 965	Sepedonium sp.	QM 913
Botryotrichum piluliferum	QM 991	Stereum purpureum	QM 1014

TABLE 3. ORGANISMS GROWING BEST ON OILS (Groups 3, 4) †

Alternaria tenuis	QM 73b	Beauveria bassiana	QM 972
Aspergillus carbonarius	QM 331	Brachysporium sp.	QM 70g
A. clavatus	QM 872	Chaetomium globosum	QM 38f
A. fischeri	QM 865	* Ch. spirale	QM 622
A. flavus	QM 63c	Circinella sydowii	QM 629
A. niger	QM 458	* Cunninghamella bertholletiae	QM 1021
A. niger mut. cinnamoneus	QM 326	* C. blakesleeana	QM 631
A. niger mut. schiemanni	QM 327	* C. echinulata	QM 154f
A. ochraceus	QM 880	Paecilomyces varioti	QM 823
A. oryzae	QM 82i	Penicillium citrinum	QM 1a
A. parasiticus	QM 884	Pestalotia roynae	QM 531
A. phoenicis	QM 1005	Pestalotia virgatula	QM 479
A. sydowii	QM 31c	* Phomaceae	QM 13e
A. tamarii	QM 75b	* Phomaceae	QM 699
* A. terreus	QM 72f	Phomaceae	QM 618
* A. ustus	QM 891	Phomaceae	QM 703
A. ustus var. laevis	QM 893	Phomaceae	QM 576
A. versicolor	QM 432	Rhizopus sp.	QM 1032
A. violaceo-fuscus	QM 335	* Septonema sp.	QM 818

\* The asterisk indicates those organisms whose mycelial weight exceeded 60% of the initial weight of the coconut oil.

† See Table 1.

*luchuensis* series is only moderately active), *A. flavus-oryzae*, *A. fumigatus*, *A. clavatus*, *A. tamarii*, *A. versicolor*, *A. ustus*. Moderate activity is shown by *A. nidulans* group. Least activity is shown by members of the *A. repens*, and *A. wentii* groups. In the genus *Chaetomium*, as in the Aspergilli, the isolates of each species are quite uniform in activity. The relative activities of the several species are:

Very active: *Ch. globosum*, *Ch. spirale*, *Ch. mollipilum*

Moderate activity: *Ch. elatum*, *Ch. funicolum*, *Ch. cupreum*, *Ch. atrobrunneum*, *Ch. indicum*, *Ch. tortile*, *Ch. coelhiodes*

Weakly active: *Ch. causiaeformis*, *Ch. velutinum*

*Cladosporium herbarum* isolates had medium to low activity on the oils used. This is odd since the isolates included two from sheepskin which Weston (1951) found to grow well on both animal and plant oils. *Cladosporium herbarum* and *Pullularia pullulans* are frequent causes of spotting of paints, yet neither fungus compares in activity with many others here tested on oils. This would indicate the difficulty of translating information obtained in shake flasks to other environmental conditions. Activity on the oils of paint, or of animal carcasses, evidently hinges on other factors.

Insect parasites appear to be very active consumers of oils. Thus, we find *Beauveria bassiana*, *Aspergillus parasiticus*, and *Aspergillus flavus*, in the very active group, but the common plant parasite *Botrytis cinerea*, on the other hand, has very little fat degrading ability. This observation is based on very few cases, and no generalization is intended.

The Phycomycetes vary in ability to metabolize oils. Best of the Phycomycetes tested are three species of Cunninghamella, *C. bertholletiae*, *C. blakesleeana*, *C. echinulata*. All three showed a mycelial weight equal to 65% of the initial weight of coconut oil, and to 20–40% of the initial ricinoleate. *Rhizopus spp.*, and *Circinella sydowi* are also very active, while *Absidia capillata* is rather weak. Of the Basidiomycetes tested, most appear to be relatively inactive, though this may be largely the result of the use of slow-growing vegetative inoculum. *Polyporus versicolor* had moderate activity on coconut oil.

#### SUMMARY

Of the fungus isolates in the Quartermaster Culture Collection tested for their ability to grow on fatty materials: 95 percent grew on coconut oil, 90 percent on methyl acetyl ricinoleate and 60 percent on di-hexyl sebacate. Ability of fungi to hydrolyze extracellularly the ester linkage appears to be extremely widespread.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Ammon, R., and M. Jaarma. 1950. Enzymes hydrolyzing fats and esters. In Sumner and Myrback Vol. 1 Pt. 1:390–442.
- Brown, A. E. 1946. The problem of fungal growth on synthetic resins, plastics,

and plasticizers. Chapt. 5 in *Trop. Detr. of Equipment and Materials*, Vol. 1, published by National Defense Research Comm., Wash., D. C.

- Castell, C. H., and E. H. Garrard. 1941. Action of microorganisms on fat. Can. J. Res. 19, C:106–110.
- Cutchins, E. C., R. N. Doetsch, and M. J. Pekzcar. 1952. Influence of medium composition on the production of bacterial lipase. J. Bact. 63:269–272.
- Harvey, J. V. 1949. Testing the fungal resistance of plastic coated fabrics and plastic films. Res. Rept. QM General Lab., Microbiological Series No. 13.
- Jensen, L. B. 1945. Microbiology of meats. Garrard Press, Champaign, Ill.
- Mukherjee, S. 1951. Fat Degradation. I Enzyme Systems. Arch. Biochem. and Biophys. 33(3):464–76.
- Perlman, D., and G. H. Wagman. 1952. Utilization of lipids by *Streptomyces griseus*. J. Bact. 63:253–262.
- Reese, E. T., H. S. Levinson, Mary H. Downing, and W. L. White. 1950. Quartermaster Culture Collection. Farlowia 4(1):45–86.
- Shipe, W. F. 1951. Relative specificity of lipases. Arch. Biochem. 30(1): 165–179.
- Stahl, W. H., and H. Pessen. 1953. The microbiological degradation of plasticizers. I. Growth on esters and alcohols. Appl. Microbiol. 1 (1):30–35.
- Waksman, S. A. 1932. Soil Microbiology. Williams and Wilkins Co., Baltimore, Md.
- Weston, W. H. 1951. Personal communication.

#### APPENDIX

Organism	QM Number	Relative Activity * on Coconut Oil	Ricinoleate
<i>Absidia capillata</i> van Tieghem	8b	1	1
<i>Absidia</i> sp.	579	2	
"	1b	1	
<i>Acremonium</i> sp.	89c	1	1
"	581	1	
"	582	1	1
"	583	1	
<i>Acrostalagmus cinnabarinus</i> Corda	320e	0	0
<i>Aegerita</i> sp.	566	2	
<i>Alternaria oleracea</i> Milbrath	280	1	1
<i>Alternaria solani</i> (Ellis and Martin) Sorauer	281	1	
<i>Alternaria tenuis</i> Nees	26a	2	2
"	73b	3	
"	85i	1	1
"	120m	2	
"	584	2	
"	585	1	
"	586	2	2
<i>Alternaria</i> sp.	15a	2	
"	298	2	
"	587	2	

\* See table 1.

Organism	QM Number	Relative Activity on Coconut Oil Ricinoleate	
<b>Amblyosporium botrytis</b> Fresenius	971	1	
<b>Arthrobotrys arthrobotryoides</b>	669	1	
(Berlese) Lindau			
"	1024	1	
"	1025	1	
<b>Arthrobotrys superba</b> Corda	670	1	
<b>Arthrobotrys superba</b> Corda var.	671	1	
<sup>oligospora</sup> Coemans			
<b>Aspergillus caespitosus</b> Raper and Thom	961	1	2
<b>Aspergillus carbonarius</b> (Bainier) Thom	331	3	1
<b>Aspergillus chevalieri</b> (Mangin) Thom	52b	1	0
and Church			
"	64c	1	
"	112a	1	
"	312	1	
<b>Aspergillus chevalieri</b> (Mangin) var.	58b	1	0
<sup>intermedius</sup> Thom and Raper			
"	914	1	
<b>Aspergillus clavatus</b> Desmazieres	862	1	1
"	872	3	1
"	863	2	
<b>Aspergillus echinulatus</b> (Delacr.) Thom	962	1	1
and Church			
<b>Aspergillus fischeri</b> Wehmer	864	3	2
"	865	3	
"	866	2	2
"	867	2	
<b>Aspergillus flavipes</b> (Bainier and Sartory)	24a	1	1
Thom and Church			
"	868	1	1
"	869	1	
<b>Aspergillus flavus</b> Link	4m	2	2
"	10e	3	
"	63c	3	3
"	70a	3	
"	138f	3	
"	380	2	
"	870	2	
<b>Aspergillus flavus-oryzae</b> series	871	3	2
<b>Aspergillus foetidus</b> Thom and Raper	328	2	1
<b>Aspergillus fonsecaeus</b> Thom and Raper	330	3	1
<b>Aspergillus fumigatus</b> Fresenius	6b	2	2
"	45h	2	1
"	443	2	
"	497	2	
<b>Aspergillus giganteus</b> Wehmer	620	2	2
<b>Aspergillus luchuensis</b> series	102d	1	1
"	155e	2	
"	873	2	
"	874	2	
"	21e		1

Organism	QM Number	Relative Activity on Coconut Oil Ricinoleate	
<b>Aspergillus montevidensis</b> Talice and MacKinnon	401	1	1
<b>Aspergillus nidulans</b> (Eidam) Winter	25b	2	2
"	87c	2	1
"	875	1	
"	876	1	
<b>Aspergillus niger</b> van Tieghem	458	3	2
"	877	3	1
"	878	3	
<b>Aspergillus niger</b> mut. <i>cinnamomeus</i> (Schiemann) Thom and Raper	326	3	2
<b>Aspergillus niger</b> mut. <i>schiemannii</i> (Thom) Thom and Raper	327	3	3
<b>Aspergillus niger</b> series	4j	3	
"	38b	3	
"	45d	3	
"	50c	2	
"	154a	3	
"	198b	3	
"	386	4	
"	861	3	2
<b>Aspergillus niveus</b> Blochwitz	879	2	2
<b>Aspergillus ochraceus</b> Wilhelm	26b	3	1
"	58c	2	1
"	880	3	2
<b>Aspergillus oryzae</b> (Ahlburg) Cohn	22b	3	2
"	82i	3	2
<b>Aspergillus panamensis</b> Raper and Thom	882	3	1
<b>Aspergillus parasiticus</b> Speare	883	3	3
"	884	3	3
<b>Aspergillus phoenicis</b> (Corda) Thom and Currie	329	3	2
"	1005	3	2
<b>Aspergillus repens</b> (Corda) deBary	44c	0	0
"	56f	1	0
"	59g	1	1
"	210	1	0
"	360	1	0
"	361	1	0
"	364	0	0
"	564	3	2
<b>Aspergillus restrictus</b> G. Smith	885	2	0
<b>Aspergillus rugulosus</b> Thom and Raper	886	1	1
<b>Aspergillus sclerotiorum</b> Huber	661	2	2
<b>Aspergillus sydowi</b> (Bainier and Sartory) Thom and Church	4d	1	1
"	31c	3	1
"	41a	3	1
"	54a	1	1
"	96a	3	1
"	103g	3	1

Organism	QM Number	Relative Activity on Coconut Oil Ricinoleate	
<i>Aspergillus tamarii</i> Kita	50b	3	2
"	75b	3	2
"	887	3	2
"	888	3	2
<i>Aspergillus terreus</i> Thom	72f	4	2
"	82j	3	2
"	91c	3	1
"	106g	4	2
"	442	3	2
"	889	3	2
<i>Aspergillus unguis</i> Emile-Weil and Gaudin	8f	2	1
"	30b	2	2
"	45e	2	1
"	53c	1	2
"	890	2	2
<i>Aspergillus ustus</i> (Bainier) Thom and Church	29c	2	2
"	89d	1	1
"	133f	3	3
"	137d	2	3
"	891	4	3
"	892	2	1
<i>Aspergillus ustus</i> (Bainier) var. <i>laevis</i> Blochwitz	24a-2	3	2
"	893	3	2
<i>Aspergillus versicolor</i> (Vuillemin) Tiraboschi	4g	2	1
"	17d	2	1
"	134c	2	1
"	432	3	1
"	894	2	1
<i>Aspergillus violaceo-fuscus</i> Gasperini	335	3	1
<i>Aspergillus wentii</i> Wehmer	44a	1	1
Basidiomycete (Conidial stage)	589	3	2
"	592	0	0
"	594	1	1
"	806	1	1
"	807	0	1
<i>Beauveria bassiana</i> (Bals.) Vuill.	972	3	2
<i>Blakeslea trispora</i> Thaxter	1019	1	1
<i>Botryodiplodia theobromae</i> Patouillard	78a	1	1
"	145h	2	1
"	166a	2	1
<i>Botryophialophora</i> sp.	571	2	2
<i>Botryosporium pulchrum</i> Corda	907	1	0
"	965	0	0
<i>Botryotrichum piluliferum</i> Sacc. & Marchal	336	1	1
"	337	1	0
"	991	0	1
<i>Botrytis cinerea</i> Persoon	520	1	1
<i>Botrytis</i> sp.	344	1	1
"	578	1	0

Organism	QM Number	Relative Activity on Coconut Oil Ricinoleate	
<i>Brachysporium oosporum</i> (Corda) Saccardo	665	2	2
<i>Brachysporium</i> sp. "	38d	3	2
"	70g	3	2
"	595	1	1
<i>Byssochlamys</i> sp.	438	1	1
<i>Cephaliophora tropica</i> Thaxter	596	1	1
<i>Cephalosporium</i> sp. "	107a	1	1
"	124h	1	1
"	127e	1	2
"	597	1	1
"	598	1	1
<i>Cephalothecium roseum</i> Corda	599	1	1
"	936	1	1
<i>Chaetomium atrobrunneum</i> Ames	626	2	2
"	627	1	1
"	952	1	1
<i>Chaetomium causiaeformis</i> Ames	949	1	1
<i>Chaetomium cochlioides</i> Palliser	604	1	1
"	624	3	3
<i>Chaetomium cupreum</i> Ames	954	2	1
<i>Chaetomium elatum</i> Kunze and Schmidt	382	1	1
"	605	1	1
"	606	1	1
<i>Chaetomium funicolum</i> Cooke	33c	2	1
"	34d	1	1
"	35e	1	1
"	145k	1	1
"	155b	1	1
"	383	1	1
"	607	1	1
<i>Chaetomium globosum</i> Kunze	32b	3	2
"	38f	3	2
"	85n	3	1
"	104a	3	2
"	459	3	3
"	608	3	2
<i>Chaetomium indicum</i> Corda	46b	1	1
"	47c	1	1
"	156f	2	1
"	621	1	1
<i>Chaetomium mollipilum</i> Ames	1007	2	2
"	1008	3	2
<i>Chaetomium spirale</i> Zopf	622	4	2
<i>Chaetomium tortile</i> Bainier	895	2	1
<i>Chaetomium turgidopilosum</i> Ames	948	2	1
<i>Chaetomium velutinum</i> Ames	623	1	1
"	950	1	1
"	951	1	1
"	953	1	1
<i>Chaetomium</i> n. sp. of Ames	625	2	1
<i>Circinella spinosa</i> van Tieghem and Le Monnier	537	2	1

Organism	QM Number	Relative Activity on Coconut Oil Ricinoleate	
<i>Circinella sydowi</i> Lendner	629	3	1
<i>Circinella</i> sp.	902	2	1
<i>Cladosporium herbarum</i> Link	17b	1	1
"	52a	2	1
"	55b	1	1
"	71d	2	1
"	120g	1	1
"	121k	2	2
"	122e	2	1
"	279a	1	0
"	489	1	1
"	1027	1	1
"	1028	1	1
<i>Cladosporium</i> sp.	122c	1	1
"	146h	1	1
"	236	1	2
"	279b	1	1
<i>Colletotrichum</i> sp.	533	0	0
<i>Collybia velutipes</i> (Curt.) Lond.	1012	1	0
<i>Coprinus sclerotigenus</i> Ellis and Everhart	933	2	2
<i>Corynespora</i> sp.	569	0	0
<i>Ctenomyces serratus</i> Eidam	256	0	0
<i>Ctenomyces</i> sp.	199	0	
"	287	2	1
"	774	1	1
"	845	1	1
<i>Cunninghamella bertholletiae</i> Stadel	1021	4	2
<i>Cunninghamella blakesleeana</i> Lendner	631	4	3
<i>Cunninghamella echinulata</i> (Thaxter) Saccardo	154f	4	2
<i>Cunninghamella elegans</i> Lendner	634	2	1
<i>Curvularia falcata</i> (Tehon) Boedijn	77a	1	1
<i>Dactylium dendroides</i> (Bulliard) Fries	508	2	1
<i>Fusarium roseum</i> Link	38g	1	1
<i>Humicola fuscoatra</i> Traaen	580	1	1
<i>Memnoniella echinata</i> (Rivolta) Galloway	1c	1	1
<i>Mucor genevensis</i> Lendner	549	1	1
<i>Mucor heterosporus</i> Fischer	615	2	1
<i>Myrothecium verrucaria</i> (Alb. and Schw.) Ditmar ex Fries	460	2	1
<i>Paecilomyces varioti</i> Bainier	822	2	1
"	823	3	1
"	824		2
<i>Penicillium capsulatum</i> Raper and Fennell	2572	2	2
<i>Penicillium chrysogenum</i> Thom	943	2	1
<i>Penicillium citrinum</i> Thom	1a	3	1
<i>Penicillium duclauxii</i> Delacroix	1078	2	1
<i>Penicillium frequentans</i> Westling	2497	2	1
<i>Penicillium funiculosum</i> Thom	443	2	2

Organism	QM Number	Relative Activity on Coconut Oil Ricinoleate	
<i>Penicillium lilacinum</i> Thom	4e	2	2
<i>Penicillium luteum</i> series	474	2	1
<i>Penicillium martensi</i> Biourge	50a	1	1
<i>Penicillium palitans</i> Westling	919	2	1
<i>Penicillium pusillum</i> Smith	137g	1	1
<i>Pestalotia bicolor</i> Ellis and Everhart	664	3	2
<i>Pestalotia dichaeta</i> Spegazzini	698	2	2
<i>Pestalotia palmarum</i> Cooke	381	2	2
<i>Pestalotia royenae</i> Guba	531	3	2
<i>Pestalotia virgatula</i> Klebahn	478	2	2
"	479	3	2
<i>Pestalotia</i> sp.	2d	3	2
"	119b	2	
"	121L	2	2
"	795	3	2
"	796	3	
<i>Phialophora compacta</i> (Carrion) Binford, Hess & Emmons	260	2	1
<i>Phialophora fastigiata</i> (Lagerberg and Melin) Conant	265	1	1
<i>Phialophora jeanselmei</i> (Langeron) Emmons	270	2	2
<i>Phialophora lagerbergii</i> (Melin and Nannfeldt) Conant	267	0	0
<i>Phialophora pedrosoi</i> (Brumpt) Binford, Hess & Emmons	259	1	1
"	261	1	1
"	262	2	1
<i>Phialophora verrucosa</i> Medlar	264	1	1
"	269	1	1
<i>Phialophora</i> sp.	645	1	1
<i>Pholiota adiposa</i> Fr.	512	0	0
<i>Phomaceae</i>	13e	4	2
"	29b	2	2
"	40c	1	1
"	106d	1	2
"	120k	2	1
"	534	2	2
"	568	0	
"	576	3	2
"	603	2	2
"	618	3	1
"	699	4	3
"	701	2	3
"	702	1	1
"	703	3	3
"	704	2	2
"	798	2	2
"	799	2	1
"	804	2	1
"	830	2	1
"	831	1	1

Organism	QM Number	Relative Activity on Coconut Oil Ricinoleate	
"	832	1	2
"	896	2	2
"	935	3	2
<b>Phymatotrichum</b> sp.	985	1	0
<b>Polyporus sulfureus</b> (Bulliard) Fries	509	0	0
<b>Polyporus versicolor</b> (L.) Fr.	1013	2	1
<b>Pseudocoprinus</b> sp.	801	1	1
<b>Ptychogaster rubescens</b> Boud.	1011	1	0
<b>Pullularia pullulans</b> (deBary) Berkhoult	72c	2	2
"	279c	1	1
"	388	3	1
"	802	2	2
<b>Rhizopus arrhizus</b> Fischer	46c	2	2
"	187a	1	2
"	808	2	1
"	809	2	1
"	839	2	1
"	500	3	2
<b>Rhizopus nigricans</b> Ehrenberg	387	2	2
"	810	2	1
"	860	2	2
<b>Rhizopus oryzae</b> Went and Geerlings	811	3	1
<b>Rhizopus</b> sp.	231	1	1
"	1032	3	
<b>Scopulariopsis brevicaulis</b> (Saccardo)	609	1	1
Bainier			
"	773	1	1
"	813	1	1
"	814	1	1
"	815	1	1
"	816	1	1
<b>Scopulariopsis repens</b> Bainier	399	1	1
<b>Sepedonium</b> sp.	913	0	0
<b>Septonema</b> sp.	818	4	3
<b>Spegazzinia tessarthra</b> (Berk. and Curt.) Saccardo	373c	2	1
<b>Sphaeropsis</b> sp.	47a	2	1
"	104g	2	1
<b>Spicaria violacea</b> Abbott	1031	1	1
<b>Spiroschisma</b> sp. ?	708	2	1
<b>Sporotrichum pruinosum</b> Gilman and Abbott	168	1	1
"	244	1	1
"	303		1
"	591	1	1
"	593	2	1
"	825	1	1
<b>Stachybotrys atra</b> Corda	102a	1	1
<b>Stemphylium botryosum</b> Wallroth	544	2	1
<b>Stereum purpureum</b> Fr.	1014	0	0
<b>Syncephalastrum racemosum</b> (Cohn) Schroeter	57a	2	1

Organism	QM Number	Relative Activity on Coconut Oil Ricinoleate	
<b>Torula</b> sp.	986	2	1
<b>Trichoderma viride</b> Harz	6a	2	1
"	13b	2	1
<b>Tritirachium roseum</b> van Beyma	164	1	1
<b>Ustilago zaeae</b> (Beckm.) Unger	990	1	2
<b>Sporocytophaga myxococcoides</b> (Krzemieniewska) Stanier	B482	1	0
<b>Streptomyces</b> sp.	B1549	1	0